Five- Year Survival Rate of Children with Central Nervous System Tumors in Shiraz, Iran

Soheila Zareifar MD, Fatemeh Rowshani MD, Sezaneh Haghpanah MD*, MPH, Mohammadreza Bordbar MD

Hematology Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. *Corresponding author: Dr. Sezaneh Haghpanah, Hematology Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Shiraz, Iran. Email: haghpanah@sums.ac.ir.

Received: 13 June 2017 Accepted: 10 September 2017

Abstract

Background: Reduced survival and impaired quality of life of the children affected by cancers is one of the most important health problems. In this study, 5-year survival of children affected with Central nervous system (CNS) tumors and its related factors were evaluated.

Materials and Methods: Participants in this historical cohort study consisted of 161children with mean age of diagnosis 72 ± 51 months (median:60 months, range from 1 month to 17 years) who were diagnosed with CNS tumors from 1999 to 2005. All patients had referred to Oncology hospitals of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. Data were extracted by checklist from their medical records.

Result: Five-year overall survival (OS) and disease free survival (DFS) of the patients were 59% (standard error: 5%) and 51.7% (standard error: 5%). Moreover, 10- year OS was calculated as 47% (standard error: 7%). Based on tumor histology, OS was 70% for low grade tumors and 52% for high grade tumors (P=0.202). Based on the results, gender (girls had longer survival than boys), recurrence, neurologic deficit and age of diagnosis (60-119 months had longer survival), were determined as the influencing factors on OS rate (HR (95% CI) =0.48 (0.24-0.98), P=0.044, 0.48(0.25-0.93) P=0.031, 0.42 (0.18-0.95), P=0.039, and 0.32 (0.11-0.88), P=0.029, respectively). Moreover, tumor location in diencephalon was determined as poor prognostic factors ((HR (95% CI) =10 (1.9-57), P=0.007).

Conclusion: Aforementioned prognostic factors should be taken into account by oncologists to make better decisions in the management of the patients with CNS tumors. It seems that survival is a multifactorial event and besides these prognostic factors, it might be also related to different clinical settings, ethnicity and type of treatment. Further studies with more focus on different treatment modalities are suggested.

Keywords: Cancer, Central nervous system neoplasm, Pediatric, Survival

Introduction

The central nervous system (CNS) tumors are the largest group of solid neoplasms in pediatrics, and known as the second most common type of cancer after leukemia in this age group. CNS tumors comprise of 25% of all tumors 0-14, and 9% 15-24 years of age, respectively. High mortality and decrease in patients' survival in one hand, and poor quality of life on the other hand, have signified this issue as one of the most important challenges for health service providers and policy makers (1-4). Factors such as gender, relapses, age of diagnosis less than 5 years of age, type of adjuvant therapy, tumor location, and

tumor grade have been associated with

survival of children with CNS tumors (5-8).

In the last decade, due to advancements in surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy increased patient's survival has been observed (9, 10). Ten years' survival rate is better in patients who are treated with the combined gross total resection plus radiotherapy, than those with surgery alone (11, 12). Combination of chemotherapy and radiotherapy increases overall survival (OS) (13).

In addition, brain tumor survivors experience broad problems such as cerebellar mutism, cranial nerve deficit, visual impairment, dysarthria, dysphagia, impairment of emotional and behavioral function, loss of memories, social dysfunction, and endocrine abnormalities caused by the side effects of treatment and tumor location (14-18). Brain tumors diagnosis and treatment can improve and reduce these complications. Survivors are at risk of developing attention, social, and emotional problems such as anxiety and depression (19).

Survival studies are necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of novel treatments as well as to identify possibilities for further improvements (20).

In this study, 5-year survival rate of children affected by CNS tumors and related factors were assessed during 1999 to 2015 in Shiraz province, Iran.

Materials and Methods

In this historical cohort study, all children with CNS tumors (n=161) who diagnosed during 1999 to 2005 at oncology hospitals affiliated to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran, were followed-up until 2015. the mean age of diagnosis was $72 \pm$ 51 months. Total of six patients were excluded due to missing data or no possibility of getting in touch with them.Other exclusion criteria included patients who had secondary CNS tumors or their symptoms were caused by tumors outside the nervous system. Finally, 155 patients were investigated in this study.

This study merely included a review of the patients' records. A written informed consent was completed by each individual or their gurdians. The local ethics committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences approved the protocol (Grant number=87/1001).

Their diagnosis was based on clinical and laboratory evaluation, pathology report, and radilogy examination, including computerized tomography scan (CT scan) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The participants had undergone various kinds of adjuvant therapies after primary treatment, including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and combinedradiotherapy and chemotherapy. In high grade tumors, medulloblastoma and ependymoma, the patients had received craniospinal radiotherapy following surgery, and adjuvant CCNU (Lomustine), vincristin and cisplatinum. Radiotherapy was delayed before the age of 3.

Carboplatin and vincristin were used in patients with newly diagnosed, progressive low grade astrocytoma. In these patients, radiotherapy was used, when chemotherapy had failed in unresectable symptomatic tumors, especially in older childern.

The chemotherapy regimen in the relapsed patients included bevacizumab, irinotecan, temozolomide, and vincristin.

Patients' data was extracted by checklist from their medical records and included gender, age of diagnosis, age of death, age at present, age at the end of treatment, symptoms at the diagnosis time, types of treatment, amount of tumor resection, histology of tumors, location of tumors, recurrences, number of recurrences, number of surgeries, and signs of neurological deficit.

In this study, types of tumors consisted of medulloblastoma, ependymoma, low grade glioma, high grade glioma, primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET), craniopharyngioma, pineal, oligodendroglioma, germ cell tumors, and other types of tumors.

Amount of resection in the checklist was classified in 6 categories: unresectable, 25% resection, 50% resection, subtotal resection(75%), near total resection (90%), and gross total resection. Sites of tumors checked in this study included posterior fossa, diencephalon, cerebrum, pineal area, optic nerve, and spinal cord.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was done using the SPSS (version 21). Survival curves are shown by Kaplan-Meier method. To determin OS, the event was considered as death and in disease free survival (DFS) it was considered as death or the recurrence. Log

Rank test was used to determine the association between patients' survival and gender, recurrence, neurologic deficit, age of diagnosis, histology and site of tumors, types of treatment, and amount of surgical resection. Finally, a Cox proportional hazards regression model by backward stepwise method was done to determine the independent variables influencing the survival of patients and hazard ratios (HR), estimataed at 95% confidence interval. The variables with P value less than 0.25 in univariate analysis were entered into this model. Two sided p-values less than 0.05 were considered to be significant.

Results

The mean age of the participants was 124 \pm 74 months (median:108 months, range: 9-348 months) at the end of the study, and 72 \pm 51 months (median:60 months range: 1-204 months) at the time of diagnosis, including 64 girls (41.3%) and 91 boys (58.7%). The most common presention f symptoms were vomiting, headache, nausea, and imbalance.

Demographic and clinical data and characteristics of tumor are presented in Table I.

The most common type of tumor was (47 cases, 30.3%) medulloblastoma followed by low grade glioma 34 cases (21.9%), and ependymoma 26 cases (16.8%). Other types with less frequency included germ cell tumor 5 cases (3.2%), oligodendroglioma 2 cases (1.3%),craniopharyngioma 1 case (0.6%), PNET 8 cases (5.2%), and high grade glioma 7 cases (4.5%). Moreover, there were 25 patients with unspecified low grade tumors (16.1%). Tumors were classified into low (n=62) and high grade (n=93) groups. Low grade tumors consisted of low grade glioma. oligodendroglioma, craniopharyngioma, and other unspecified low grade tumors. High grade tumors included ependymoma, germ cell tumor, medulloblastoma, PNET, and high grade glioma.

The highest frequency of distribution of tumor location in children less than 18 years of age with primary CNS tumor was posterior fossa (58.7%).

The most common type of treatment in this population was combinedsurgery withchemotherapy or radiotherapy (59.4%). Moreover, in tumor resection, the most prevalent type of resection was total or near total resection (59.4%).

Total of 41 patients (26.5%) had experienced at least one episode of recurrence. In addition, neurologic deficit occurred in 99 children (63.9%) during the study.

Univariate analysis was done to determine the relationship of the evaluated variables with OS and DFS of the patients using Log rank test. The results are shown in Table I. In this anlysis, all evaluated variables showed significant association with OS and DFS of the patients, except age at diagnosis, gender, and tumor grade.

At the end of study, 53 patients (34.2%) had died. OS of the patients is shown in Figure 1.

Overall, 5-years OS was 0.59 (standard error: 0.05). Patients survival after 98 months was at a steady rate of 0.47 (standard error: 0.07). DFS was 51.7% (standard error: 5%).

OS of the patients based on tumor grade is presented in Figure 2. The difference in survival of the patients was not statistically significant between low grade and high grade tumors (P=0.202). Furthermore, DFS was not significantly different between high garde and low grade tumor (P=0.258). Due to small sample size in some subgroups, comparison of OS among all types of tumors was not possible. Hence, OS and DFS were compared seperately amongst the four groups of patients with frequency of more than 20 patients, which included medulloblastoma, low grade glioma, ependymoma, and unspecified other low grade tumors that statistically revealed no significant differences (P=0.756, P=0.689).

To determine the independent factors influencing OS rate of the patients, Cox proportional hazards regression model with backward stepwise method was performed for OS and DFS and variables with P value less than 0.25 in univariate analysis were entered into the model. In OS analysis, these variables included gender, recurrence, neurologic deficit, treatment, tumor site, tumor resection, age of diagnosis, and tumor grades. Table II shows the results of this analysis. In the final step, gender (girls had longer survival than boys), recurrence, neurologic deficit, and age at diagnosis (60-119 months had longer survival compared to other age groups) showed significant association with survival in affected children (HR (95% CI) =0.48 (0.24-0.98), P=0.044, 0.48(0.25-0.93) P=0.031, 0.42 (0.18-0.95), P=0.039, and 0.32 (0.11-0.88), P=0.029, respectively). Tumor site in diencephalon showed significantly shorter survival in patients ((HR (95% CI) =10 (1.9-57), P=0.007). Moreover, treatment showed a significant association overall, but in subclassification none of the categories had significant correlation with survival. Tumor grade showed no significant association with patients' OS.

Moreover, in Table II the results of DFS Cox regression analysis are presented.

Entered variables into the model consisted of gender, neurologic deficit, treatment, tumor site, tumor resection, and age at diagnosis. Reccurence was not considered because it was used in DFS calcultion. Similar to OS, in the final step, gender (girl had longer survival than boys) and neurologic deficit were determined as prognostic factor with survival (HR (95% CI)=0.41(0.22-0.75), P=0.004, 0.42(0.21-0.82), P=0.011), respectively. On the other hand, tumor resection (unresectable and 25% or less resection) and tumor site (diencephalon) were determined as poor prognostic factors on patients' DFS (HR (95% CI)= 2.59(1.26-5.35), P=0.010, and 7.42(1.85-29), P=0.005), Regarding treatment, it should be mentioned that this analysis was limited to three groups of patients including surgery alone, surgery plus chemotherapy as well as surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy, but other groups of treatment including patients who underwent chemotherapy, radiotherapy, combined surgery and radiotherapy and combined chemotherapy, and radiotherapy were excluded due to small sample size. Among the three mentioned treatment groups, patients who underwent surgery and chemotherapy showed significantly worse DFS (HR (95% CI)= 3.47(1.29-9.34), P=0.014).

Zareifar et al

Variables	Number	%	overall survival	P value	Disease free survival	P value
			(Standard error)		(Standard error)	
Age at diagnosis (month)						
<60	69	44.5	0.477(0.083)	0.108	0.387(0.076)	0.067
60-119	56	36.1	0.688(0.071)		0.612(0.073)	
≥120	30	19.4	0.653(0.096)		0.653(0.096)	
Gender						
Girl	64	41.3	0.675(0.074)	0.131	0.628(0.074)	0.114
Boy	91	58.7	0.525(0.066)		0.437(0.064)	
Recurrence						
Yes	41	26.5	0.309(0.086)	< 0.001	0.171(0.059)	< 0.001
No	114	73.5	0.722(0.05)		0.722(0.050)	
Neurological deficit						
Yes	99	63.9	0.502(0.059)	0.001	0.453(0.057)	0.003
				-		
No	56	36.1	0.766(0.086)		0.633(0.091)	
Tumor grades						
Low-grade tumors	62	40	0.701(0.067)	0.202	0.598(0.071)	0.258
High grade tumors	93	60	0.522(0.067)		0.464(0.065)	
Tumor site						
Posterior fossa	91	58.7	0.561(0.066)	0.005	0.522(0.066)	0.021
Diencephalon	15	9.7	0.311(0.121)		0.233(0.118)	
Cerebrum	22	14.2	0.648(0.120)		0.530(0.124)	
Pineal and optic nerve	13	8.4	0.738(0.175)		0.506(0.164)	
Spinal cord	14	9	0.851(0.097)		0.750(0.124)	
Tumor resection						
Unresectable and 25% or	18	11.6	0.161(0.133)	0.009	0.129(0.108)	0.001
less resection				-		
Partially resection (50-	38	24.5	0.532(0.094)		0.422(0.095)	
75%)						
Total or near total (90%)	92	59.4	0.707(0.054)		0.660(0.056)	
resection						
Missing data	7	4.5				
Treatment						
Surgery and chemotherapy	31	20	0.450(0.110)	0.01	0.400(0.108)	0.005
Surgery, chemotherapy	92	59.4	0.642(0.065)		0.547(0.064)	
and radiotherapy						
Surgery	20	12.9	0. 591(0.125)	4	0.591(0.125)	
Missing data	12	7.7				

Table I: Comparison of 5-year survival rates among different groups of children with central nervous system tumor based on clinical data and characteristics of the tumor

a: Others include germ cell tumor, oligodendroglioma, craniopharyngioma, primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET), high grade glioma, and misellaneous

	Overall surv	vival	Disease free survival		
Parameters	HR ^f (95% CI)	P value	HR ^f (95% CI)	P value	
Gender ^a	0.48 (0.24-0.98)	0.044	0.41(0.22-0.75)	0.004	
Recurrence ^a	0.48 (0.25-0.93)	0.031			
Neurologic deficit ^a	0.42 (0.18-0.95)	0.039	0.42(0.21-0.82)	0.011	
Age of diagnosis ^b		0.031			
<60 months	0.78 (0.32-1.90)	0.597			
60-119 months	0.32 (0.11-0.88)	0.029			
Treatment ^c		0.001		0.007	
Surgery, chemotherapy	1.84 (0.65-5.2)	0.249	3.47(1.29-9.34)	0.014	
Surgery, chemotherapy and	0.46 (0.17-1.21)	0.119	1.26(0.53-2.96)	0.597	
radiotherapy					
Tumor resection				0.020	
Unresectable and 25% or less			2.59(1.26-5.35)	0.010	
resection					
Partially resection			1.84(0.97-3.47)	0.058	
(50-75%)					
Tumor site ^d		0.022		0.013	
Posterior fossa	2.25 (0.50-10)	0.288	2.45(0.71-8.4)	0.155	
Dienceohalon	10 (1.9-57)	0.007	7.42(1.85-29)	0.005	
Cerebrum	1.5(0.24-9.3)	0.649	1.62(0.37-6.94)	0.516	
Pineal and optic nerve	1.48(0.19-11.3)	0.702	2.14(0.48-9.52)	0.316	
Tumor grade	0.45(0.17-1.19)	0.109			

TableII: Final step of cox regression analysis of covariates on the survival of children affected with central nervous system tumors

^a Gender: girl compared to boy; neurologic deficit: no compared to yes; recurrence: no compared to yes, in disease free survival, reccurence was not considered as a variable in cox model because it was used in calcultion of disease free survival. ^b Compared to >=120 months. ^c Compared to surgery (Patients who underwent chemotherapy, radiotherapy, combined surgery and radiotherapy and combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy were not considered in this analysis due to small number of patients in each group). ^d compared to spinal cord ^e Compared to total or near total (90%) resection. ^fHR: hazard ratio



Figure 1: Overall survival of children affected by central nervous system tumors



Figure 2: Overall survival of children affected by central nervous system tumors based on tumor grades

Discussion

In this study, children's survival with CNS tumor who were diagnosed from 1999 to 2005, and were followed-up till 2015, was evaluated. The 5-year OS and DFS of the patients was 59% (standard error=5%) and 51.7% (standard error: 5%). In line with the present study, Pogorzala et al. reported a 5-year survival of $60.9 \pm 4.7\%$ in children with brain tumors in Poland (6). Magnani and co-workers Moreover. reported a 5-year survival of 61% in Europe, the authors also estimated 5-year survival in some other countries such as Sweden 73%, Estonia 28%, Iceland 75%, and in Finland 73%(21). It is difficult to compare patients' survival with cancer amongst different countries, because there may be differences in pathological assessment of tumors as well as changes in the management standards over time and places. Jung et al. estimated that the 5year survival in Korea was 37.5% (22). Another study by Desandes and his coworkers in France showed a 5-year survival of 74.5% in all types of CNS tumors(23).

In two recent studies conducted in Iran, the survival rate of brain tumors was assessed. The 5-year survival rate was reported at 68.5%. and $51.68 \pm 5.22\%$ (24,25). Result of this study is similar to a study conducted in Mahak charity hospital (24), while it was less than what was reported by Rafsanjani et al., (25).

The 10-year OS in the current study was 47%, this result was similar to the study that was done in Poland in which 10-year survival was 58.2 ± 4 , however, Lannering et al. showed that it was 72% in their study in Sweden (26).

In the present study, the 5-year OS and DFS of the patients with low grade and high grade tumors was not significantly different. In patients with ependymoma 5-year OS was 47.3 %, which was comparable to several recently published studies. A recent study investigated the

Iran J Ped Hematol Oncol. 2017, Vol 8.No 1, 1-11

survival of children with ependymoma, and found that 5-year survival was 55 ± 6 % (27). Other studies showed the 5 yearsurvival in children with ependymoma was 24 to 75% and 56.6 ± 16.6% (15, 6).

In this study the 5 year OS in children with medulloblastoma was 61.8%. Johnston et al. reported 5-year survival of $69.2\% \pm 3.3$ in children with medulloblastoma (5). The result of this study was similar to the results of current study, while Duffner et al. showed 5-year-survival of 39% in medulloblastoma and 28% in ependymoma (28).

The 5-year OS in low grade glioma in present study was 70%, in three recently published studies this rate was reported at 71%, $57.7\pm 9.4\%$ and $83.3\pm6.2\%$ (6,28,29).

According to the current study, patients with age at diagnosis of 60-119 months had significantly longer survival. Recently published studies have shown that age at diagnosis has been associated with survival rate. Likewise, Johnston and his coworkers showed that age at diagnosis less than 5 years had worser survival, while in the study by Ailon et al. patients with age of diagnosis less than 2 years had poorer survival (5,11,30). In contrast to present study, the Farinotti research showed that age at diagnosis did not have any major impact on survival (31).

In the two recently published studies, the disease affects boys more than girls, which was similar to current study. Although in these two studies, gender did not have any significant association with survival rate, in the present study girls had longer survival compared with boys, and gender was known as an independent factor influencing OS and DFS (5,23).

Considering tumor site, diencephalon was diagnosed as a poor prognostic factor for OS and DFS. Eisenstat et al. showed that tumors involving thalamus and basal ganglion had poorer prognosis in survival (29).

Based on the current results, DFS was associated with tumor resection as patients whose tumor was unresectable or 25% or less resectable had significantly shorter DFS. Similar to present study, other published studies showed surgical resection, especially gross total and near total resection, to besignificantly associated with longer survival (6, 11,15, 29, 32-34).

Nowadays, treating children with brain tumors is according to the type, tumor location, age of children and other factors. Although surgery is one of the best treatments for children who are affected with brain tumors, often complete removal of the tumors due to its location and other risk factors is next to impossible. Depending on the specific tumor and age, there are severaltreatments that should be added to surgery. Another kind of treatments that may be prescribed after surgery are either chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Overall, treatment plays an important role in children's survival with CNS tumors. In the present study, 12.9% of children underwent surgery, 20% surgery plus chemotherapy, and most of them (59.4%) received combination of surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Other modalities without surgery were applied for the rest of the children based on the clinical and laboratory evaluation.

In this study, the 5-year OS was 30.9% in patients with recurrence, and 72.2% in patients without recurrence. Recuurence was determined as a poor prognostic factor in survival analysis, which was similar to other reports (5,35).

Most of the children affected with CNS tumors experienced neurological deficits. This problem was dependent on type of treatment (surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy). Survivors without neurologic deficit showed better survival in current study, and was another independent influencing factor on survival rate. Mirzadeh et al. reported Leukemia and CNS tumors as the most common cause of death in children aged 0-19 years in Yazd province, Iran 2004-2009; giving the crude cancer death rate of 8.48 and 6.72 in boys and girls per 100,000, respectively. Moreover, they investiagted the Years of Life Lost (YLL) due to premature cancer death that was 3,436 YLL in boys and 2,561 YLL in girls (36).

This study had some limitaions due to data analysis of onlyone center rather than a population based study. In addition, in this study, there was no facility to detect cytogenetic loci implicating malignant brain tumors, which may improve the treatment decision in tumors such as targeted therapies and unique approaches to molecular subtypes.

Conclusion

According to the current study results, gender (girls had longer survival than boys), recurrence, neurologic deficit and age of diagnosis (60-119 months had longer survival compared to other age groups), were determined as influencing factors on survival. Moreover, location of tumor in diencephalon as well as unresectable and less than 25% unresectable tumors were determined as poor prognostic factors on survival. These factors should be taken into account by oncologists to make better decisions when managing patients. It seems that survival is a multifactorial event, and besides these prognostic factors, it might be also related to different clinical settings, ethnicity and type of treatment. Further studies with more focus on different treatment modalities are suggested.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Mr. H.Argasi at the Research Consultation Center for his invaluable assistance in editing this manuscript. The present article was extracted from the thesis written by Fatemeh Rowshani for her medical degree *Iran J Ped Hematol Oncol. 2017, Vol 8. No 1, 1-11* and was financially supported by Shiraz University of Medical Sciences grant No. 87/1001.

Conflict of interest

The author(s) declare that they have no conflict of interests

References

1. Pollack IF. Brain tumors in children. N Engl J Med 1994;331(22):1500-7.

2. Staneczek W, Janisch W. Epidemiology of primary tumors of the central nervous system in children and adolescents. A population-based study. Pathologe 1994;15(4):207-15.

3. Arora RS, Alston RD, Eden TO, Estlin EJ, Moran A, Birch JM. Ageincidence patterns of primary CNS tumors in children, adolescents, and adults in England. Neuro Oncol 2009;11(4):403-13.

4. Kuttesch JF Jr, Rush SA, Ater JL. Brain tumors in childhood. In: Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, St. Geme JW III, Schor NF, Behrman RE . Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. 19th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2011; 1746-1753.

5. Johnston DL, Keene D, Kostova M, Lafay-Cousin L, Fryer C, Scheinemann K, et al. Survival of children with medulloblastoma in Canada diagnosed between 1990 and 2009 inclusive. J Neurooncol 2015;124(2):247-53.

6. Pogorzala M, Styczynski J, Wysocki M. Survival and prognostic factors in children with brain tumors: longterm follow-up single center study in Poland. Anticancer Res 2014;34(1):323-6.

7. Phi JH, Wang KC, Park SH, Kim IH, Kim IO, Park KD, et al. Pediatric infratentorial ependymoma: prognostic significance of anaplastic histology. J Neurooncol 2012;106(3):619-26.

8. Khatua S, Sadighi ZS, Pearlman ML, Bochare S, Vats TS. Brain tumors in children--current therapies and newer *Iran J Ped Hematol Oncol. 2017, Vol 8.No 1, 1-11*

directions. Indian J Pediatr 2012;79(7):922-7.

9. Haydon DH, Dahiya S, Smyth MD, Limbrick DD, Leonard JR. Greater extent of resection improves ganglioglioma recurrence-free survival in children: a volumetric analysis. Neurosurgery 2014;75(1):37-42.

10. Karajannis M, Allen JC, Newcomb EW. Treatment of pediatric brain tumors. J Cell Physiol 2008;217(3):584-9.

11. Rogers L, Pueschel J, Spetzler R, Shapiro W, Coons S, Thomas T, et al. Is gross-total resection sufficient treatment for posterior fossa ependymomas? J Neurosurg 2005;102(4):629-36.

12. Koshy M, Rich S, Merchant TE, Mahmood U, Regine WF, Kwok Y. Postoperative radiation improves survival in children younger than 3 years with intracranial ependymoma. J Neurooncol 2011;105(3):583-90.

13. Sun MZ, Ivan ME, Oh MC, Delance AR, Clark AJ, Safaee M, et al. Effects of adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation on overall survival in children with choroid plexus carcinoma. J Neurooncol 2014;120(2):353-60.

14. Margelisch K, Studer M, Ritter BC, Steinlin M, Leibundgut K, Heinks T. Cognitive dysfunction in children with brain tumors at diagnosis. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2015;62(10):1805-12.

15. Zacharoulis S, Moreno L. Ependymoma: an update. J Child Neurol 2009;24(11):1431-8.

16. Armstrong GT, Jain N, Liu W, Merchant TE, Stovall M, Srivastava DK, et al. Region-specific radiotherapy and neuropsychological outcomes in adult survivors of childhood CNS malignancies. Neuro Oncol 2010;12(11):1173-86.

17. Mei C, Morgan AT. Incidence of mutism, dysarthria and dysphagia associated with childhood posterior fossa tumour. Childs Nerv Syst 2011;27(7):1129-36.

18. Gonc EN, Yordam N, Ozon A, Alikasifoglu Kandemir N. А, Endocrinological outcome of different treatment options in children with craniopharyngioma: retrospective а analysis of 66 cases. Pediatr Neurosurg 2004;40(3):112-9.

19. Willard VW, Conklin HM, Boop FA, Wu S, Merchant TE. Emotional and behavioral functioning after conformal radiation therapy for pediatric ependymoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014;88(4):814-21.

20. Madanat-Harjuoja LM, Pokhrel A, Kivivuori SM, Saarinen-Pihkala UM. Childhood cancer survival in Finland (1953-2010): a nation-wide populationbased study. Int J Cancer 2014;135(9):2129-34.

21. Magnani C, Aareleid T, Viscomi S, Pastore G, Berrino F. Variation in survival of children with central nervous system (CNS) malignancies diagnosed in Europe between 1978 and 1992: the EUROCARE study. Eur J Cancer 2001;37(6):711-21.

22. Phi JH, Wang KC, Park SH, Kim IH, Kim IO, Park KD, et al. Pediatric infratentorial ependymoma: prognostic significance of anaplastic histology. J Neurooncol 2012;106(3):619-26.

23. Desandes E, Guissou S, Chastagner P, Lacour B. Incidence and survival of children with central nervous system primitive tumors in the French National Registry of Childhood Solid Tumors. Neuro Oncol 2014;16(7):975-83.

24. Mehrvar A, Faranoush M, Hedayati Asl AA, Tashvighi M, Fazeli MA, Qaddoumi I, et al. Childhood central nervous system tumors at MAHAK's Pediatric Cancer Treatment and Research Center (MPCTRC), Tehran, Iran. Childs Nerv Syst 2014;30(3):491-6.

25.Rafsanjani Kh A, Bahoush G, Nikpoor F, Vossough P. Outcome of primary childhood central nervous system tumors: results from a single center in Iran. Neuropediatrics 2012;43(5):232-7.

26. Lannering B, Sandstrom PE, Holm S, Lundgren J, Pfeifer S, Samuelsson U, et al. Classification, incidence and survival analyses of children with CNS tumours diagnosed in Sweden 1984-2005. Acta Paediatr 2009;98(10):1620-7.

27. Purdy E, Johnston DL, Bartels U, Fryer C, Carret AS, Crooks B, et al. Ependymoma in children under the age of 3 years: a report from the Canadian Pediatric Brain Tumour Consortium. J Neurooncol 2014;117(2):359-64.

28. Duffner PK, Cohen ME, Myers MH, Heise HW. Survival of children with brain tumors: SEER Program, 1973-1980. Neurology 1986;36(5):597-601.

29. Eisenstat DD, Pollack IF, Demers A, Sapp MV, Lambert P, Weisfeld-Adams JD, et al. Impact of tumor location and pathological discordance on survival of children with midline high-grade gliomas treated on Children's Cancer Group highgrade glioma study CCG-945. J Neurooncol 2015;121(3):573-81.

30. Ailon T, Dunham C, Carret AS, Tabori U, McNeely PD, Zelcer S, et al. The role of resection alone in select children with intracranial ependymoma: the Canadian Pediatric Brain Tumour Consortium experience. Childs Nerv Syst 2015;31(1):57-65.

31. Farinotti M, Ferrarini M, Solari A, Filippini G. Incidence and survival of childhood CNS tumours in the Region of Lombardy, Italy. Brain 1998;121 (8):1429-36.

32. Cage TA, Clark AJ, Aranda D, Gupta N, Sun PP, Parsa AT, et al. A systematic review of treatment outcomes in pediatric patients with intracranial ependymomas. J Neurosurg Pediatr 2013;11(6):673-81.

33. Dodgshun AJ, Maixner WJ, Hansford JR, Sullivan MJ. Low rates of recurrence and slow progression of pediatric pilocytic astrocytoma after grosstotal resection: justification for reducing surveillance imaging. J Neurosurg Pediatr 2016:17(5):1-4. 34. Sun MZ, Ivan ME, Clark AJ, Oh MC, Delance AR, Oh T, et al. Gross total resection improves overall survival in children with choroid plexus carcinoma. J Neurooncol 2014;116(1):179-85.

35. Messahel B, Ashley S, Saran F, Ellison D, Ironside J, Phipps K, et al. Relapsed intracranial ependymoma in children in the UK: patterns of relapse, survival and therapeutic outcome. Eur J Cancer 2009;45(10):1815-23.

36. Mirzadeh M, Mirzaei M, Mirzaei M, ShogaeiFar H.Years of Life Lost and Childhood and Adolescent Cancer Mortality in Yazd Province, Iran (2004-2009). Iran J Ped Hematol Oncol 2015;5(3):125-30.